# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NORTHERN DIVISION, AT KNOXVILLE

CIVIL ACTION NO.

#### NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367, 1441, and 1446, Defendant Brookstone Capital Management, LLC ("Brookstone") hereby gives notice that it is removing the above styled action from the Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee.

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. Plaintiff Anne Wunschel commenced this action by filing a Complaint on February 2, 2018 in the Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee, Docket No. 1-39-18. A true and correct copy of all pleadings, process, and orders received by Brookstone is attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 2. Plaintiff's Complaint asserts various claims arising out of the purchase and sale of certain alleged securities, including causes of action under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C.

§ 77a et seq.), the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. § 78a et seq.), and the Investment Advisors Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. § 80b-1 et seq.), each within this Court's original jurisdiction.

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1367 because the claims arise under the laws of the United States or, as state law claims, are so related as to form part of the same case or controversy.

# II. PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

- 4. Plaintiff served Brookstone with the Summons and Complaint on February 12, 2018. This Notice of Removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446.
  - 5. No prior application has been made for the relief requested.
- 6. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, Knoxville Division, is the district and division embracing the place where this action is pending in state court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).
- 7. Contemporaneous with the filing of this Notice of Removal, Brookstone will file a copy of the same with the Clerk of the Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d). Written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal has also been served upon Plaintiff.
- 8. All Defendants consent to and join in the removal of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(2)(A).
  - 9. All prerequisites for removal, as set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1441, have been met.

## III. FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION

10. Federal district courts have "original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treatises of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Removal

jurisdiction based upon a federal question exists when a federal question is presented on the face of a plaintiff's complaint. *See Caterpillar, Inc. v. Williams*, 482 U.S. 386, 392 (1987).

11. Plaintiff has pleaded federal law claims arising under Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. § 77a et seq.), the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. § 78a et seq.), and the Investment Advisors Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. § 80b-1 et seq.) (collectively, the "Federal Law Claims"). See Compl. ¶¶ 158-88. Therefore, this Court has federal question jurisdiction over the Federal Law Claims.

### IV. SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION

12. The supplemental jurisdiction statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

[I]n any civil action of which the district courts have original jurisdiction, the district court shall have supplemental jurisdiction over all other claims that are so related to claims in the action within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy . . .

- 13. Plaintiff's claims for negligence, negligence per se, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, negligent misrepresentation, violation of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act, unjust enrichment, civil conversion, violation of the Tennessee Securities Act of 1980, respondent superior claims, and piercing the corporate veil (collectively, the "State Law Claims") are based upon the same factual allegations as Plaintiff's Federal Law Claims, as reflected by the Complaint's incorporation of the general factual allegations for all causes of action.
- 14. Because Plaintiff's State Law Claims are based upon the same factual allegations, the State Law Claims arise out of the same case or controversy as Plaintiff's Federal Law Claims, and therefore, this Court can exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the State Law Claims.

15. In addition, this Court should exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's State Law Claims in order to avoid unnecessary duplication of judicial resources. Plaintiff's State Law Claims do not raise novel or complex issues of state law, do not predominate over Plaintiff's demand for relief as set forth in the Federal Law Claims, and arise from the same transaction or occurrence. 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c). Because these claims arise from the same nucleus of operative fact, they will each involve the same witnesses, presentation of substantially the same evidence, and a determination of the same or similar facts. It is therefore proper for this Court to exercise jurisdiction over all claims asserted in Plaintiff's Complaint.

### V. <u>ADOPTION AND RESERVATION OF DEFENSES</u>

- 16. Nothing in this notice of removal shall be interpreted as a waiver or relinquishment of any of Brookstone's (or any Defendant's) rights to assert any defense or affirmative matter, including, but not limited to, the defenses of: (1) lack of jurisdiction over the person; (2) improper venue; (3) insufficiency of process; (4) insufficiency of service of process; (5) improper joinder of claims and/or parties; (6) failure to state a claim; (7) the mandatory arbitrability of some or all of the claims; (8) failure to join indispensable parties; or (9) any other pertinent defense available under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, any state or federal statute, or otherwise.
- 17. To the extent remand is sought by Plaintiff or visited by the Court, Brookstone respectfully requests the opportunity to brief the issues and submit additional arguments as evidence.
- 18. Brookstone reserves the right to supplement this Notice of Removal as may be necessary or appropriate.

WHEREFORE, Brookstone hereby removes this matter from the Circuit Court for Knox County, Tennessee, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee,

Knoxville Division, and respectfully requests that the Court accept this Notice and cause this matter to be docketed before the Court.

March 8, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas K. Potter, III

Thomas K. Potter, III (TN Bar No. 024857)
Payton Bradford (TN Bar No. 035053)
BURR & FORMAN LLP
222 Second Avenue South, Suite 2000
Nashville, TN 37201

Telephone: (615) 724-3231 Facsimile: (615) 724-3331 Email: <u>tpotter@burr.com</u> <u>pbradford@burr.com</u>

Counsel for Defendant, Brookstone Capital Management, LLC

279618 v1

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been forwarded by electronic means via CM/ECF and/or by first-class, United States mail, postage prepaid, on this the 8th day of March, 2018:

F	
Brent R. Laman, Esq.	Mark D. Griffin, Esq.
Andrew Womack, Esq.	Lori Patterson, Esq.
MOORE INGRAM JOHNSON & STEELE,	BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,
LLP	CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC
Cedar Ridge Office Park	First Tennessee Building
408 N. Cedar Bluff Road, Ste. 500	165 Madison Avenue, Ste. 2000
Knoxville, TN 37923	Memphis, TN 38103
Tel.: (865) 692-9039	Tel.: (901) 577-8241
Fax: (865) 692-9071	Fax.: (901) 577-0768
brlaman@mijs.com	mgriffin@bakerdonelson.com
mawomack@mijs.com	lpatterson@bakerdonelson.com
Counsel for Plaintiff, Anne Wunschel	Counsel for Defendants, Richard Fritts,
	Randall Eric Fritts, Michael Phillip Fritts,
	and Fritts Financial, LLC
W. Kyle Carpenter, Esq.	Christopher G. Lazarini, Esq.
WOOLF, MCCLANE, BRIGHT, ALLAN &	BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC
CARPENTER, PLLC	The Tower at Peabody Place
900 Riverview Tower	100 Peabody Place, Ste. 1300
900 S. Gay Street	Memphis, TN 38103-3649
Knoxville, TN 37902	Tel.: (901) 543-5702
Tel.: (865) 215-1000	Fax.: (866) 422-6479
Fax.: (865 215 1001	clazarini@bassberry.com
kcarpenter@wmbac.com	
	Counsel for Defendants, Securities America,
Matthew Fornshell, Esq.	Inc. and Thomas Keith Wormsley
Nicole Woods, Esq.	
ICE MILLER LLP	
250 West Street, Ste. 700	
Columbus, OH 43215	
Tel.: (614) 462-2319	
Fax.: (614) 232-6883	
Nicole.woods@icemiller.com	
Counsel for Defendant, Horter Investment	
Management, LLC improperly named as	
Horter Investment Company, LLC	

/s/ Thomas K. Potter, III
Thomas K. Potter, III